

SUBGRANTEE AWARD APPROVAL NOTICE

Initial Submission	X
--------------------	---

To: Director of Health		DIRECTORS APPROVAL
From: Karen Hughes	Chief - Family	36908

Total Applicants Considered:	2		Program Title: SAVE OUR SIGHT AMBLYOPE REGISTRY
Number of Projects Awarded:	1	Grant Year: 2010	Award Period: 7/1/2009 thru 6/30/2010

APPLICANTS TO BE FUNDED:						
COUNTY	CITY	PROJECT	AGENCY NAME	AMOUNT	ADJUSTMENT	AWARDED
Franklin	Columbus	02530011AR0210	Nationwide Children's Hospital	\$300,000.00	\$0.00	\$300,000.00
TOTAL AWARDS						\$300,000.00

SOURCE OF FUNDS SUPPORTING GRANT:	
FUND	DESCRIPTION
4V60	Save Our Sight

OVERALL ACTIVITIES COVERED BY FUNDS SOURCE:

Activities covered by the funding source include the following: To provide funds which provide education and voluntary case management to parents or guardians of children that are diagnosed with amblyopia. In addition, the Save Our Sight Fund seeks to provide opportunities to raise awareness of amblyopia through educational efforts to families, health professionals and the general public and identify more children with amblyopia who currently are not receiving treatment. Emphasis was placed on program expansion.

REVIEW CRITERIA USED FOR SELECTION:

This was a competitive grant cycle. The review was based on the applicant's eligibility to apply, 501 (c) organization with vision service experience and the capacity to serve all Ohio counties. The scope and comprehensiveness of the proposed goals, objectives, activities, and method of program evaluation, and an approved budget were also reviewed. Successful applicant provided components as outlined in the RFP for the Save Our Sight Ohio Amblyope Registry Program. The RFP could be awarded to only one subgrantee.

REVIEW PROCESS:	Display Name
Internal	Zelda Weaver
Internal	Dyane Gogan Turner
Internal	Allyson Van Horn
Internal	Jo Bouchard
External	

APPLICANTS DENIED:						
COUNTY	CITY	PROJECT	AGENCY NAME	AMOUNT	ADJUSTMENT	AWARDED
Franklin	Worthington	02560351AR0110	The Ohio Public Health Association			

REMARKS:

This was a competitive grant application. An objective review panel (external to the ODH) was convened in May 2009; the panel had no conflicts of interest. Each reviewer used an evaluation tool to review and score each grant proposal. The evaluation tool was provided as an attachment to the RFP. All perspective applicants had knowledge of the review criteria used. Post-review, the ranked grant applications were forwarded to the Save Our Sight (SOS) Program with recommendations from the panel. The SOS staff further reviewed the recommended applicants to ensure all RFP requirements were met.

Regarding the application not recommended for funding: The RFP could be awarded to only one subgrantee. Discernable differences could be found between the content of the two applications and the scores that justify funding one over the other. Approved application provided an excellent executive summary, detailed plan of action and appropriate budget with detailed yet concise narrative. The disapproved application failed to provide a detailed plan of action including outreach, training, security/confidentiality and evaluation; failed to explain functions of agencies detailed in the plan; and failed to justify the budget and subcontracts.

