

SUBGRANTEE AWARD APPROVAL NOTICE

Initial Submission	X
--------------------	---

To: Director of Health		DIRECTORS APPROVAL
From: Karen Hughes	Chief - Family	34145

Total Applicants Considered:	4		Program Title: LEAD RRC
Number of Projects Awarded:	4	Grant Year: 2009	Award Period: 10/1/2008 thru 9/30/2009

APPLICANTS TO BE FUNDED:						
COUNTY	CITY	PROJECT	AGENCY NAME	AMOUNT	ADJUSTMENT	AWARDED
Hamilton	Cincinnati	03120011LE0209	Cincinnati City Health Department	\$85,000.00	\$0.00	\$85,000.00
Mahoning	Youngstown	05010011LE0209	Mahoning County District Board of Health	\$90,000.00	\$0.00	\$90,000.00
Muskingum	Zanesville	06010011LE0209	Zanesville-Muskingum County Health Department	\$90,000.00	(\$15,000.00)	\$75,000.00
Seneca	Tiffin	07410011LE0209	Seneca County Health Department	\$90,000.00	\$0.00	\$90,000.00
			TOTAL AWARDS			\$340,000.00

SOURCE OF FUNDS SUPPORTING GRANT:	
FUND	DESCRIPTION
320	Maternal Child Health Block Grant

OVERALL ACTIVITIES COVERED BY FUNDS SOURCE:
<p>OBJECTIVE 1: Increase testing rates within the assigned region by at least 10 percent (baseline ____ SFY 07 figures may be accessed at www.odh.ohio.gov/odhPrograms/cfhs/lead_ch) per year for children at risk for lead poisoning as defined by Ohio Administrative Code Section 3701-30-01, paragraph C.</p> <p>OBJECTIVE 2: Develop and implement strategies to operationalize Ohio's Plan to Eliminate Lead Poisoning by 2010.</p> <p>OBJECTIVE 3: Participate with ODH in the development and maintenance of relevant training programs.</p> <p>OBJECTIVE 4: Begin a "Lead and Healthy Homes" primary prevention education initiative in the region, using the newly developed "Lead and Healthy Homes" guidance, by targeting local public health agencies that house an environmental program in the region.</p> <p>OBJECTIVE 5: RRC personnel will have made direct contact with providers and public health personnel in selected at-risk areas by forming or joining one or more existing lead collaborative groups and targeting educational activities to the geographic area.</p>

REVIEW CRITERIA USED FOR SELECTION:

Review Criteria: All proposals will be judged on the quality, clarity and completeness of the application. Applications will be judged according to the extent to which the proposal:

1. Contributes to the advancement and/or improvement of the health of Ohioans;
2. Is responsive to policy concerns and program objectives of the initiative/program/activity for which grant dollars are being made available;
3. Is well executed and is capable of attaining program objectives;
4. Describes specific objectives, activities, milestones and outcomes with respect to time-lines and resources;
5. Estimates reasonable cost to the Ohio Department of Health, considering the anticipated results;
6. Indicates that program personnel are well qualified by training and/or experience for their roles in the program and the applicant organization has adequate facilities and personnel;
7. Provides an evaluation plan, including a design for determining program success;
8. Is responsive to the special concerns and program priorities specified in the request for proposal;
9. Has demonstrated acceptable past performance in areas related to programmatic and financial stewardship of grant funds; and
10. Has demonstrated compliance to GAPP, Chapter 100.

REVIEW PROCESS:	Display Name
Internal	Deborah Candow
Internal	Christopher Alexander
Internal	Kelly Harris
Internal	John Belt
Internal	Melody Sexton

APPLICANTS DENIED:						
COUNTY	CITY	PROJECT	AGENCY NAME	AMOUNT	ADJUSTMENT	AWARDED

REMARKS:

Zanesville-Muskingum County Health Department will receive \$75,000 for LE09 (requested \$90,000). The applicant was funded in the past years competitive cycle as a first time RRC with an award of \$90,000 to facilitate the projects start up costs. At the mid-point of the LE08 funding period, it became apparent that the expenses would be much lower for the project than in more urbanized areas of the state. After initial start up purchases and staffing of the project, a lapse of more that \$20,000 of the award was projected to occur. These funds were reallocated to the other existing RRCs in June of 2008. It is with this historical knowledge that the program is recommending LE09 funding of this applicant at the level of \$75,000. Additional grant application reviewers included Greg Dion, Gina Gerbasi, and Danielle Michael.

