

SUBGRANTEE AWARD APPROVAL NOTICE

Initial Submission	X
--------------------	---

To: **Director of Health**
 From: Steve Muskopf

DIRECTORS APPROVAL
39053

Total Applicants Considered:	13	Program Title: BREAST & CERVICAL CANCER PROJECT
Number of Projects Awarded:	11	Grant Year: 2010 Award Period: 6/30/2009 thru 6/29/2010

APPLICANTS TO BE FUNDED:						
COUNTY	CITY	PROJECT	AGENCY NAME	AMOUNT	ADJUSTMENT	AWARDED
Allen	Lima	00210014BC0310	Allen County Health Department	\$112,781.00	(\$42,751.00)	\$70,030.00
Cuyahoga	Parma	01810014BC0310	Cuyahoga County Health Department	\$405,638.00	(\$153,761.00)	\$251,877.00
Fulton	Wauseon	02610014BC0310	Fulton County Health Department	\$195,361.00	(\$74,053.00)	\$121,308.00
Hamilton	Cincinnati	03140014BC0310	University of Cincinnati	\$254,019.00	(\$96,288.00)	\$157,731.00
Jefferson	Steubenville	04130014BC0310	Trinity Medical Center West	\$246,616.00	(\$93,482.00)	\$153,134.00
Licking	Newark	04510014BC0110	Licking County Health Department	\$216,790.00	(\$82,176.00)	\$134,614.00
Montgomery	Dayton	05760034BC0310	Premier Community Health	\$317,255.00	(\$190,289.00)	\$126,966.00
Noble	Caldwell	06110014BC0310	Noble County Health Department	\$140,806.00	(\$53,374.00)	\$87,432.00
Richland	Mansfield	07010014BC0310	Mansfield Ontario Richland County Health Department	\$174,491.00	(\$66,143.00)	\$108,348.00
Ross	Chillicothe	07110014BC0310	Ross County General Health District	\$187,683.00	(\$71,143.00)	\$116,540.00
Summit	Stow	07710014BC0310	Summit County General Health District	\$250,703.00	(\$95,031.00)	\$155,672.00
			TOTAL AWARDS			\$1,483,652.00

SOURCE OF FUNDS SUPPORTING GRANT:	
FUND	DESCRIPTION
3920	Federal Public Health Programs

OVERALL ACTIVITIES COVERED BY FUNDS SOURCE:

The purpose of this program is to assure breast and cervical cancer screening and follow-up and support services are available to low income women across Ohio. Funds will be awarded for the purpose of carrying out programs that contain the following program components:

1. Program Management
2. Screening and diagnostic services (to include case management)
3. Data management
4. Quality assurance and improvement
5. Evaluation
6. Partnerships
7. Professional Development
8. Recruitment

REVIEW CRITERIA USED FOR SELECTION:

A standardized review form was used when reviewing each application. Areas assessed were executive summary, description of applicant agency, problem/need statement, program methodology and budget. The review score sheet was included in the RFP.

REVIEW PROCESS:	Display Name
Internal	Natalie Haslage
Internal	Sarah Gudz
External	Joyce Hersch
External	Debra Smith

APPLICANTS DENIED:						
COUNTY	CITY	PROJECT	AGENCY NAME	AMOUNT	ADJUSTMENT	AWARDED
Franklin	Columbus	02520014BC0310	Columbus City Health Department			
Greene	Xenia	02910014BC0110	Greene County Combined Health District			

REMARKS:

Applicants apply to manage BCCP activities in a specific "Region". BCCP Regions 3 and 6 had multiple applicants, the other 9 regions had only one applicant per region.

In BCCP Regions where there was more than one applicant, those applications were reviewed by two BCCP staff and two additional reviewers that were not BCCP program staff. In BCCP Regions where there was only one applicant, those applications were reviewed by two BCCP staff and one additional reviewer that was not BCCP program staff.

Reviewers:

Sarah Gudz (ODH BCCP)
Natalie Haslage (ODH BCCP)
Joyce Hersh (ODH)
Debra Smith (ODH)
Stacy Lender (ODH)
Janelle Edwards (ODH)
Michelle Shough (ODH)
Kim Anthony (ODH)
Lois Hall (Past BCCP PD)
Diana McMahon (Past BCCP PD)
Krista Kopperud (American Cancer Society)

Each reviewer scored applications using the Grant Application Review Rating Form that was included in the RFP. Scores for each application were tallied and averaged by BCCP staff. Average score was used to determine winner in regions where there was more than one applicant. Scores and recommendations were copied to a Recorder Summary Report by BCCP staff.

If scores for one application varied by 15 points or more, all reviewers for that application attended a consensus meeting to discuss scores and recommendations. Consensus was gained when all scores were within 15 points of one another. Scores for each application were tallied and averaged. Consensus scores and recommendations were copied to a Recorder Summary Report by BCCP Staff and was signed by all attending the consensus meeting.

Applicants applied for funds assuming that both federal and state funds would be awarded. At this time, the state budget has not been approved, so only federal funds are being awarded. BCCP uses a funding formula to determine funding amounts available in each region. Formula is described in RFP. A reallocation will occur later if state funds are awarded.

