

SUBGRANTEE AWARD APPROVAL NOTICE

Initial Submission	X
--------------------	---

To: Director of Health From: Tunji Longe	<table border="1" style="margin: auto;"> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;">DIRECTORS APPROVAL</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;">49140</td> </tr> </table>	DIRECTORS APPROVAL	49140
DIRECTORS APPROVAL			
49140			

Total Applicants Considered:	9		Program Title: TOBACCO PREVENTION: POLICY, SYSTEM & ENVIRONMENTAL CHANGE
Number of Projects Awarded:	9	Grant Year: 2012	Award Period: 4/1/2011 thru 3/28/2012

APPLICANTS TO BE FUNDED:						
COUNTY	CITY	PROJECT	AGENCY NAME	AMOUNT	ADJUSTMENT	AWARDED
Belmont	St. Clairsville	00710014TO0112	Belmont County General Health District	\$52,000.00	\$0.00	\$52,000.00
Carroll	Carrollton	01010014TO0112	Carroll County Health Department	\$32,000.00	\$0.00	\$32,000.00
Knox	Mount Vernon	04210014TO0112	Knox County Health Department	\$52,000.00	\$0.00	\$52,000.00
Licking	Newark	04510014TO0112	Licking County Health Department	\$52,000.00	\$0.00	\$52,000.00
Lucas	Toledo	04810014TO0112	Lucas County Regional Health District	\$52,000.00	\$0.00	\$52,000.00
Monroe	Woodsfield	05610014TO0112	Monroe County Health Department	\$30,646.54	\$0.00	\$30,646.54
Muskingum	Zanesville	06010014TO0112	Zanesville-Muskingum County Health Department	\$52,000.00	\$0.00	\$52,000.00
Perry	New Lexington	06410014TO0112	Perry County General Health District	\$52,000.00	\$0.00	\$52,000.00
Washington	Marietta	08430014TO0112	Selby General Hospital	\$52,000.00	\$0.00	\$52,000.00
			TOTAL AWARDS			\$426,646.54

SOURCE OF FUNDS SUPPORTING GRANT:	
FUND	DESCRIPTION
3920	Federal Public Health Programs

OVERALL ACTIVITIES COVERED BY FUNDS SOURCE:
<p>Had to include all 3 of the required goals:</p> <p>Goal #1: To increase the number of school districts with 100% tobacco-free school campus policies, including policies that include off-campus event.</p> <p>Goal #2: Assist in the implementation of the Youth Tobacco Survey (YTS) in selected schools</p> <p>Goal #3: Promote usage of the ODH Tobacco Quit Line through promotion of the Dear Me campaign.</p> <p>Had to select at least one of the following goals:</p> <p>Goal #4: To increase the number of families living in smoke-free multi-unit dwellings to reduce exposure to secondhand smoke.</p> <p>Goal #5: To increase the number of health care practitioners who use the 5 A's or 2 A's + R (referral to the Ohio Quit Line or certified cessation program in their area).</p>

REVIEW CRITERIA USED FOR SELECTION:

No award would be granted for less than \$30,000 and no more than \$52,000.
 ? Program/budget period is April 1, 2011 to March 28, 2012.
 ? Statement of Intent to Eliminate Health Disparities.
 ? Has included all 3 of the required goals:
 ? Goal #1: To increase the number of school districts with 100% tobacco-free school campus policies, including policies that include off-campus event.
 ? Goal #2: Assist in the implementation of the Youth Tobacco Survey (YTS) in selected schools
 ? Goal #3: Promote usage of the ODH Tobacco Quit Line through promotion of the Dear Me campaign.
 ? Has selected at least one of the following goals:
 ? Goal #4: To increase the number of families living in smoke-free multi-unit dwellings to reduce exposure to secondhand smoke.
 ? Goal #5: To increase the number of health care practitioners who use the 5 A's or 2 A's + R (referral to the Ohio Quit Line or certified cessation program in their area.
 ? Has included the following attachments:
 ? Work Plan in the correct template provided.
 ? School District Collaboration Letter
 ? Smoke-free multi-unit Housing Collaboration Letter (if goal 4 is selected).
 ? Healthcare Facility for Cessation Collaboration Letter (if goal 5 is selected).

REVIEW PROCESS:	Display Name
Internal	David Polakowski
Internal	Mari-jean Siehl
Internal	Melanie Tidwell
Internal	Geraldine Lester-Baldasare
Internal	Linda Scovern
Internal	Beth Malchus
Internal	Nancy Patton
Internal	Joyce Hersch

APPLICANTS DENIED:						
COUNTY	CITY	PROJECT	AGENCY NAME	AMOUNT	ADJUSTMENT	AWARDED

REMARKS:
 Brandi Bennett and Janelle Edwards are not listed in the review process drop down list. Both participated in the review process. Staff created 5 teams in which 4 of the teams each reviewed 2 applications and the 5th team reviewed 1. Each team reviewed the applications individually and then held a consensus score meeting. A final consensus score meeting was held with all team captains and those individuals interested in attending. Each application was reviewed with 3 being brought to the CAR meeting. These 3 applications were reviewed at the CAR meeting and additional special conditions were added as needed.

